



IntelliMindEd, 2(6); 2026, 534-554

DOI: [10.69687/ime.nseeepsd.2026.1.45](https://doi.org/10.69687/ime.nseeepsd.2026.1.45)

National Seminar on Effect of Ecopreneurship on Environment Protection & Sustainable Development

Enhancing Lending Efficiency in District Central Cooperative Banks: An Exploratory Analysis of Sustainable Practices and their Impact on Agricultural Advances

Mr. Venkata Rao Valluri^{1*}, Dr. Ch. Srinivas², Prof. N. Udaya Bhaskar³

¹Research Scholar, Sri Y. N. College, Narasapur, and Assistant Professor, DCMS, Madanapalle Institute of Technology & Science, Andhra Pradesh, India.

²Research Supervisor & Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, Sri Y. N. College, Narasapur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

³Head, Department of Commerce & Management Studies, Adikavi Nannaya University, Rajahmundry, Andhra Pradesh, India.

***Corresponding author e-mail:** vvrfinance@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) play a vital role in promoting rural development and financial inclusion in India, with a vast network of over 1,000 DCCBs providing essential financial services to millions of rural households, farmers and small-scale entrepreneurs. However, the rising levels of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) in DCCBs have become a major concern, affecting their financial sustainability and stability. Sustainable lending practices are essential for minimizing NPAs and maximizing returns, yet there is a lack of research on their impact.

Methodology: The study employed a descriptive research design, using both primary and secondary data. It is organised around five objectives: to examine the current lending practices adopted by DCCBs in

IntelliMindEd | ISSN: 2998-8527, USA | National Seminar on Effect of Ecopreneurship on Environment Protection & Sustainable Development, Department of Commerce & Management Studies, AKNU, Rajamahendravaram, AP, India

India; to identify the challenges faced by DCCBs in managing NPAs and maximizing returns, including the impact of regulatory requirements, market conditions and internal factors; to analyze the relationship between lending practices and NPA management, including the impact of credit appraisal, loan monitoring and recovery mechanisms; to evaluate the effectiveness of sustainable lending practices such as diversification of loans, adoption of technology-based lending solutions and investment in government securities; and to provide recommendations for improvement. Gross NPA ratios of three sample DCCBs — Chittoor, Krishna and Srikakulam — are analysed over ten years from 2012-13 to 2021-22 using mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation.

Results & Discussion: For Gross NPA to Agricultural Advances, Chittoor DCCB recorded a mean of 10.2% with a standard deviation of 4.1 and a coefficient of variation of 40.1, indicating moderate variability; Krishna DCCB a mean of 7.3% with SD 7.4 and CV 101.3, indicating high variability; and Srikakulam DCCB a mean of 4.3% with SD 3.2 and CV 73.0. For Gross NPA to Non-Agricultural Advances, Chittoor recorded a mean of 17.6% with SD 8.6 and CV 49.2; Krishna a mean of 10.2% with SD 4.1 and CV 40.1, indicating relatively stable performance; and Srikakulam a mean of 42.5% with SD 61.9 and CV 145.6, indicating extremely high variability, driven by a peak of 215.06% in 2012-13. The consistently high ratios for Chittoor suggest difficulties in recovering loans, while Srikakulam's low agricultural NPA suggests effective credit risk management strategies.

Conclusion: Sustainable lending practices are the cornerstone of the long-term viability of District Central Cooperative Banks in India, and their adoption is imperative to mitigate the escalating levels of Non-Performing Assets. The implementation of robust credit risk assessment, loan structuring and pricing, monitoring and recovery, and transparency and disclosure mechanisms are essential components that can promote financial sustainability and reduce the risk of NPAs. Moreover, the strategic deployment of technology can enhance credit risk assessment, loan monitoring and recovery. DCCBs should continue to innovate and improve their lending practices, thereby fulfilling their mandate as a vital component of the country's cooperative banking system.

Keywords: District Central Cooperative Banks; Sustainable Lending Practices; Non-Performing Assets; Agricultural Advances; Credit Risk Assessment; Loan Structuring; Rural Development; Financial Inclusion; Coefficient of Variation; India.

1. Introduction

The Indian cooperative banking sector, comprising District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs), is vital in promoting rural development and financial inclusion in India. With a vast network of over 1,000 DCCBs across the country, these banks provide essential financial services to millions of rural households, farmers, and small-scale entrepreneurs. However, the Indian cooperative banking sector is facing significant challenges, particularly with regard to the rising levels of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs). The increasing NPAs have not only affected the financial sustainability and stability of DCCBs but also hindered their ability to provide credit to rural communities.

In this context, sustainable lending practices have emerged as a critical strategy for minimizing NPAs and maximizing returns in DCCBs. Sustainable lending practices involve a comprehensive approach to lending, encompassing credit risk assessment, loan structuring and pricing, monitoring and recovery, and transparency and disclosure. By adopting sustainable lending practices, DCCBs can promote financial sustainability, reduce the risk of NPAs, and improve their overall lending outcomes.

Despite the importance of sustainable lending practices in DCCBs, there is a lack of research on this topic. The existing literature primarily focuses on the causes and consequences of NPAs in Indian banks, with limited attention to the role of sustainable lending practices in minimizing NPAs and maximizing returns. This study aims to fill this knowledge gap by exploring the impact of sustainable lending practices on NPAs and returns in DCCBs. Specifically, this study seeks to examine the current lending practices in DCCBs, analyze the impact of sustainable lending practices on NPAs and returns, and identify the challenges faced by DCCBs in implementing sustainable lending practices.

2. Literature Review

Sustainable lending practices are crucial for District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) in India to minimize Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) and maximize returns. A review of literature reveals that DCCBs face significant challenges, including high NPAs, dependence on state support, and inefficient risk management. To overcome these challenges, effective credit appraisal and monitoring, diversification of loans, and adoption of technology-based lending solutions can help minimize NPAs. Furthermore, DCCBs can explore alternative investment strategies, such as investing in government securities, to maximize returns. A descriptive study on sustainable lending practices in DCCBs can provide valuable insights into the current state of lending practices, challenges faced, and opportunities for improvement, ultimately contributing to the stability and growth of the Indian banking sector.

Renuka and Divya (2023) in their report focused on the non-performing assets status of selected banks. Today, the performance of a bank depends on its deposit volume, number of branches, service quality and assets.

Patel and Shah (2023) found that the financial sector plays an important role in the development of the Indian economy and with their support, our system is working well. Therefore, the stability of the financial institution is very important. The increase in equipment failures in the banking sector in the last few years has become a problem for the system.

Pani (2022) discussed the concept of asset failure and examined reasons for assets turning into non-performing assets, and provides recommendations to avoid such assets in the future and preserve existing assets.

Mukherjee and Phirangi (2020) clinched that non-performing assets should be reduced and controlled to improve the efficiency and profitability of banks. While the reduction in non-performing assets generally indicates a strengthening of the credit appraisal

process, the growth in non-performing assets indicates the need to maintain balance sheet adjustments that may affect the profitability of the bank.

Jain (2021) found that major reason for the increase in non-performing assets in India is low credit scores and poor borrowers.

Sudha and Mangai (2020) explained the reasons for non-performing assets in India. Indian banks need to be vigilant against bad loans and maintaining adequate capital to absorb bad loans is crucial for survival. The National Bank of India has initiated an asset quality review to assess the non-performing assets status of Indian commercial banks.

Khachekar et al. (2020) focus on the growing problem of bank failures and its impact on the economy. Loans are an asset to the bank because interest and repayments generate income. Banks make money receiving interest on the loans.

Prashant et al. (2020) investigate the factors affecting the non-performing assets of Indian banks. The research results show variables such as poor assessment of credit risk, poor credit utilization, poor credit monitoring and recovery, ability of banks to initiate credit problems, one-time credit products, short-term loans and lack of credit advice affecting bank failure in particular.

Kalpana and Padmavathi (2020) concluded that public sector banks are at a disadvantage compared to private sector banks in the area of non-performing assets. This study aims to examine the effectiveness of public and private sector banks in managing non-performing assets.

Kandpal (2020) identified and analysed the factors affecting non-performing assets and their effectiveness and suggested necessary measures to ensure their effective monitoring and management. The banks selected for this research project have high non-performing assets and are among the top banks in their sector.

Adithya et al. (2019) concluded that banking system is the backbone of the Indian financial system. The Indian financial sector has been successful in the past, but in recent years the banking sector has faced many challenges such as asset management, real estate unemployment and bad debt.

Rana (2018) in his report found that non-performing assets are a common problem in the Indian financial market. The financial institution has achieved many great successes in the last three years. Many banks are facing the problem of such assets which is affecting their business.

Jayaprasad (2018) found that equipment failure not only affects the organisations but also the economy of any country. This study tries to analyse the asset failure of rural banks after the merger in the last decade.

Ghaloth (2018) tried to understand the non-performing assets and the factors related to such assets, the reasons for the high level of such assets and its impact on the performance of the Indian banking sector.

Prasad and Prasad (2020) discussed the impact of non-performing assets on bank revenues in their study. In the assessment of private banks, it was revealed that such assets were created at a lower cost as compared to Agricultural Development Bank as required by the government.

Satyanarayana (2016) concluded that those loan losses have an effect on the financial institution's profitability on a big scale. The though whole removal of such losses isn't always possible; however banks can usually aim to preserve the losses at a low stage. Non-performing belongings mirror the performance of banks and high stage of such assets implies that there are massive numbers of credit score defaults that have an effect on the profitability of the financial institution.

Rao & Patel (2015) considered aggregate data of public sector, private sector and foreign banks and attempts to compare analyse and interpret the non-performing asset management. Non-performing assets are like a double edged sword. They do not generate any income, whereas, the bank is required income.

Selvarajan & Vadivalagan (2013) concluded that non-performing assets are also called as non-performing loans. It is made by a bank or finance company on which repayments or interest payments are not being made on time. A loan is an asset for a bank as the interest payments and the repayment of the principal create a stream of cash flows.

Saxena (2013) aimed at understanding the concept of non-performing assets and the efforts on behalf of the banks and financial institutions in harnessing the such assets. The growth in such assets can be checked substantially if banks and financial institutions take suitable policies.

3. Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the current lending practices adopted by District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) in India (overview of the existing lending practices, policies, and procedures followed by DCCBs).
2. To identify the challenges faced by DCCBs in managing Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) and maximizing returns and to investigate the difficulties encountered by DCCBs in managing NPAs and maximizing returns, including the impact of regulatory requirements, market conditions, and internal factors.
3. To analyze the relationship between lending practices and NPA management in DCCBs, and to explore the correlation between lending practices and NPA management, including the impact of credit appraisal, loan monitoring, and recovery mechanisms on NPA levels.

4. To evaluate the effectiveness of sustainable lending practices in minimizing NPAs and maximizing returns in DCCBs and to assess the efficacy of sustainable lending practices, such as diversification of loans, adoption of technology-based lending solutions, and investment in government securities.
5. To provide recommendations for improving sustainable lending practices in DCCBs and to provide actionable suggestions for DCCBs to enhance their lending practices, minimize NPAs, and maximize returns, based on the findings of the study.

4. Sustainable Lending Practices

Sustainable lending practices are a set of principles and procedures that prioritize the long-term financial sustainability of both the lender and the borrower. These practices are designed to promote responsible lending and borrowing, reduce the risk of default and non-payment, and foster a stable and inclusive financial system. One of the key components of sustainable lending practices is credit risk assessment, which involves conducting thorough evaluations of a borrower's creditworthiness to ensure that they have the capacity to repay loans. This includes analyzing their credit history, income, expenses, and other financial metrics to determine their ability to meet their loan obligations.

Another important aspect of sustainable lending practices is loan structuring and pricing. This involves designing loan products that take into account the borrower's repayment capacity and pricing loans based on the credit risk profile of the borrower. For example, lenders may offer loans with longer repayment periods or lower interest rates to borrowers who are deemed to be higher-risk. By structuring loans in a way that considers the borrower's repayment capacity, lenders can reduce the risk of default and non-payment, while also ensuring that borrowers are not overwhelmed by debt.

In addition to credit risk assessment and loan structuring and pricing, sustainable lending practices also involve regular monitoring and recovery. This includes regularly reviewing loan performance and taking prompt action to address any issues that arise. For example, if a borrower is struggling to make payments, the lender may work with them to restructure the loan or provide temporary hardship relief. By taking a proactive and supportive approach to loan management, lenders can help borrowers avoid default and non-payment, while also minimizing their own risk exposure.

Transparency and disclosure are also essential components of sustainable lending practices. This involves providing borrowers with clear and timely information about loan terms and conditions, including interest rates, fees, and repayment terms. By ensuring that borrowers have a clear understanding of their loan obligations, lenders can promote responsible borrowing and reduce the risk of misunderstandings or disputes.

Overall, sustainable lending practices offer a range of benefits for both lenders and borrowers. By prioritizing long-term financial sustainability, lenders can reduce their risk exposure, promote responsible borrowing, and foster a stable and inclusive financial system. Borrowers, meanwhile, can benefit from more flexible and affordable loan products, as well as greater transparency and support throughout the loan management process.

5. Non-Performing Assets

Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) are a perennial concern for District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) in India, posing a significant threat to their financial stability and sustainability. NPAs refer to loans that are not repaid by borrowers, resulting in a loss for the bank, which can have far-reaching consequences on the bank's profitability, liquidity, and overall financial health. The causes of NPAs in DCCBs are multifaceted and

can be attributed to a combination of factors, including poor credit appraisal, inadequate loan monitoring, and external factors such as economic downturns, natural disasters, and regulatory changes. Furthermore, the consequences of NPAs can be severe, leading to a decrease in the bank's capital adequacy, an increase in provisioning requirements, and a loss of public confidence in the bank. Effective management of NPAs is therefore critical to mitigate these risks and ensure the long-term viability of DCCBs. This can be achieved through a combination of strategies, including strengthening credit appraisal and loan monitoring processes, implementing robust risk management systems, and developing effective recovery mechanisms. Additionally, DCCBs can also explore alternative approaches, such as debt restructuring, loan consolidation, and asset reconstruction, to manage NPAs and minimize losses. Ultimately, the management of NPAs requires a proactive and holistic approach that involves not only the bank but also other stakeholders, including borrowers, regulators, and the government.

Table 1: Gross NPA to Agricultural Advances of Sample DCCBs (%)

Years	Chittoor DCCB	Krishna DCCB	Srikakulam DCCB
2012-13	21.45	6.32	10.94
2013-14	9.24	14.35	0.71
2014-15	9.32	25.90	0.71
2015-16	8.76	5.98	5.80
2016-17	10.27	2.35	4.06
2017-18	9.21	2.87	3.59
2018-19	9.63	3.84	1.61
2019-20	7.72	4.29	5.20
2020-21	8.97	3.34	3.48
2021-22	7.02	3.72	7.33
Mean	10.2	7.3	4.3
SD	4.1	7.4	3.2
CV	40.1	101.3	73.0

5.1 Analysis of Gross NPA to Agricultural Advances of Sample DCCBs

Table 1 provides a comprehensive overview of the Gross Non-Performing Assets (NPA) to Agricultural Advances of three District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) — Chittoor, Krishna, and Srikakulam — over a period of 10 years (2012-13 to 2021-22).

Key Observations:

1. **Chittoor DCCB:** The Gross NPA to Agricultural Advances for Chittoor DCCB has been consistently high, with a mean value of 10.2% and a standard deviation (SD) of 4.1. The coefficient of variation (CV) is 40.1, indicating moderate variability.
2. **Krishna DCCB:** Krishna DCCB has shown significant fluctuations in Gross NPA to Agricultural Advances, with a mean value of 7.3% and an SD of 7.4. The CV is 101.3, indicating high variability.
3. **Srikakulam DCCB:** Srikakulam DCCB has maintained relatively low levels of Gross NPA to Agricultural Advances, with a mean value of 4.3% and an SD of 3.2. The CV is 73.0, indicating moderate to high variability.

Inferences:

- **Chittoor DCCB's High NPA:** The consistently high Gross NPA to Agricultural Advances for Chittoor DCCB suggests that the bank may be experiencing difficulties in recovering loans from agricultural borrowers. This could be due to various factors such as crop failures, market fluctuations, or inadequate credit appraisal.
- **Krishna DCCB's Volatility:** The significant fluctuations in Gross NPA to Agricultural Advances for Krishna DCCB indicate that the bank may be exposed to higher credit risk. This could be due to factors such as changes in government policies, weather conditions, or market trends.
- **Srikakulam DCCB's Low NPA:** The relatively low levels of Gross NPA to Agricultural Advances for Srikakulam DCCB suggest that the bank may have implemented

effective credit risk management strategies. This could be due to factors such as robust credit appraisal, regular monitoring, and timely recovery of loans.

Table 2: Gross NPA to Non-Agricultural Advances of Sample DCCBs (%)

Years	Chittoor DCCB	Krishna DCCB	Srikakulam DCCB
2012-13	12.47	7.08	215.06
2013-14	7.43	9.65	30.66
2014-15	7.60	16.08	30.66
2015-16	12.22	3.11	53.78
2016-17	28.35	8.57	16.90
2017-18	28.16	6.62	16.62
2018-19	28.36	11.66	16.03
2019-20	23.32	10.69	17.55
2020-21	16.58	12.53	11.03
2021-22	11.45	15.87	16.74
Mean	17.6	10.2	42.5
SD	8.6	4.1	61.9
CV	49.2	40.1	145.6

The data shows the Gross Non-Performing Assets (NPA) to Non-Agricultural Advances of three District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) — Chittoor, Krishna, and Srikakulam — over a period of 10 years (2012-13 to 2021-22).

Key Observations:

- **Chittoor DCCB:** The Gross NPA to Non-Agricultural Advances for Chittoor DCCB has been consistently high, with a mean value of 17.6% and a standard deviation (SD) of 8.6. The coefficient of variation (CV) is 49.2, indicating moderate variability.
- **Krishna DCCB:** Krishna DCCB has shown relatively stable Gross NPA to Non-Agricultural Advances, with a mean value of 10.2% and an SD of 4.1. The CV is 40.1, indicating moderate variability.
- **Srikakulam DCCB:** Srikakulam DCCB has experienced highly volatile Gross NPA to Non-Agricultural Advances, with a mean value of 42.5% and an SD of 61.9. The CV is 145.6, indicating extremely high variability.

Inferences:

- **High NPA in Chittoor DCCB:** The consistently high Gross NPA to Non-Agricultural Advances for Chittoor DCCB suggests that the bank may be experiencing difficulties in recovering loans from non-agricultural borrowers.
- **Stable NPA in Krishna DCCB:** The relatively stable Gross NPA to Non-Agricultural Advances for Krishna DCCB indicates that the bank may have implemented effective credit risk management strategies.
- **Volatility in Srikakulam DCCB:** The highly volatile Gross NPA to Non-Agricultural Advances for Srikakulam DCCB suggests that the bank may be exposed to higher credit risk.

6. Causes of NPAs

The causes of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) in District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) are multifaceted and can be attributed to a combination of factors. Poor credit appraisal is a significant contributor to NPAs, as inadequate credit appraisal and risk assessment can lead to the sanctioning of loans to borrowers who may not have the repayment capacity. Lack of monitoring is another critical factor, as failure to monitor loan performance can lead to NPAs. Economic downturns can also have a significant impact on the repayment capacity of borrowers, leading to NPAs. Furthermore, wilful default by borrowers can also contribute to NPAs, as some borrowers may deliberately avoid repaying loans. Additionally, other factors such as poor loan documentation, inadequate collateral, and lack of effective recovery mechanisms can also contribute to NPAs. The consequences of NPAs can be severe, leading to a decrease in the bank's capital adequacy, an increase in provisioning requirements, and a loss of public confidence in the bank. Therefore, it is essential for DCCBs to adopt robust credit appraisal and monitoring processes, as well as effective recovery mechanisms, to minimize the risk of NPAs.

7. Consequences of NPAs

The consequences of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) in District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) are far-reaching and can have a significant impact on the financial stability and sustainability of the banks. One of the most significant consequences of NPAs is the erosion of capital, as banks are required to make provisions for NPAs, which can lead to a decrease in their capital adequacy ratio. This can have a ripple effect on the bank's ability to lend and can ultimately impact the credit availability for farmers and rural communities. Furthermore, NPAs can also lead to a decrease in the bank's profitability, as the interest income from NPAs is not realized, and the bank has to incur additional expenses for recovery and provisioning. The accumulation of NPAs can also lead to a loss of public confidence in the bank, which can have a negative impact on deposits and borrowing. Additionally, NPAs can also have a systemic impact on the financial system, as they can lead to a credit crunch, reduced lending, and decreased economic activity. Moreover, NPAs can also have a social impact, as they can lead to financial distress for farmers and rural communities, who may have borrowed money from the bank to meet their agricultural and livelihood needs.

8. Management of NPAs in DCCBs

District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) employ various strategies to manage Non-Performing Assets (NPAs), which are crucial to mitigate the negative impact of NPAs on their financial stability and sustainability. One of the key strategies is provisioning, where DCCBs are required to make provisions for NPAs, which can significantly impact their profitability. The provisioning requirement can lead to a decrease in the bank's profit, as the provisions are deducted from the bank's income. Another strategy is write-off, where DCCBs may write off NPAs, which can result in a loss of capital. Write-off is usually considered as a last resort, as it involves recognizing a loss and reducing the

bank's capital. In contrast, recovery is a more proactive approach, where DCCBs may attempt to recover NPAs through various means, including legal action. This approach can be time-consuming and costly, but it can also help the bank recover some of the lost amount. Restructuring is another strategy, where DCCBs may restructure NPAs, which can involve extending the repayment period or reducing the interest rate. Effective management of NPAs requires a combination of these strategies, tailored to the specific circumstances of each loan.

9. Benefits of Sustainable Lending Practices

The benefits of sustainable lending practices in District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) are multifaceted and far-reaching. One of the most significant advantages of sustainable lending practices is the reduction of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs). By ensuring that borrowers have the capacity to repay loans, sustainable lending practices can help minimize NPAs, which can have a devastating impact on the financial stability of DCCBs. Moreover, sustainable lending practices can also promote financial sustainability in DCCBs by reducing the risk of loan defaults. This, in turn, can help DCCBs maintain their financial stability, even in times of economic uncertainty. Another significant benefit of sustainable lending practices is increased borrower satisfaction. By providing transparent and timely disclosure of loan terms and conditions, sustainable lending practices can help borrowers make informed decisions about their loans, which can lead to increased satisfaction and loyalty. In addition to these benefits, sustainable lending practices can also contribute to the overall development of the rural economy. By providing access to credit to rural communities, DCCBs can help promote economic growth, reduce poverty, and improve living standards.

10. Role of DCCBs

District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) play a vital role in the cooperative banking system in India, serving as a crucial link between rural communities, farmers, and other marginalized groups, and the formal banking system. Established under the State Cooperative Societies Act and registered under the Cooperative Societies Act, DCCBs are governed by a board of directors, comprising elected representatives from the member societies, ensuring that the banks are truly representative of the communities they serve. As apex institutions in the cooperative banking hierarchy, DCCBs provide a range of financial services, including deposit mobilization, credit dispensation, and remittance facilities, to their member societies, which in turn cater to the needs of rural communities, farmers, and other marginalized groups. By providing access to credit and other financial services, DCCBs play a critical role in promoting rural development, reducing poverty, and improving living standards. Moreover, DCCBs also act as a conduit for the implementation of various government-sponsored schemes and programs aimed at promoting rural development and financial inclusion.

11. Challenges in Implementing Sustainable Lending Practices

District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) face numerous challenges in implementing sustainable lending practices, which are essential for promoting financial stability and sustainability. One of the significant challenges is the limited financial resources available to DCCBs. With limited financial resources, DCCBs may struggle to invest in the necessary infrastructure, technology, and human resources required to implement sustainable lending practices. This can hinder their ability to provide access to credit to rural communities, farmers, and other marginalized groups, ultimately affecting their financial sustainability. Another challenge faced by DCCBs is limited access to technology. In today's digital age, technology plays a critical role in facilitating sustainable lending practices, such as credit risk assessment, loan monitoring, and

recovery. However, many DCCBs lack access to the necessary technology, which can make it difficult for them to implement sustainable lending practices. Furthermore, limited training and capacity building are also significant challenges faced by DCCBs. Implementing sustainable lending practices requires specialized skills and knowledge, which many DCCB staff may not possess.

12. Functions of DCCBs

The primary functions of District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) are pivotal in promoting rural development and financial inclusion. One of the most critical functions of DCCBs is providing credit to farmers, rural artisans, and other marginalized groups for various purposes, including agriculture, animal husbandry, and rural development. By providing access to credit, DCCBs enable rural communities to meet their financial needs, invest in their livelihoods, and improve their overall quality of life. Another essential function of DCCBs is accepting deposits from members, providing a safe and secure way to save money. This function helps to mobilize savings from rural communities, which can then be channelized into productive lending activities, promoting rural development and financial inclusion. In addition to providing credit and accepting deposits, DCCBs also provide remittance services to facilitate the transfer of money from urban to rural areas.

13. Credit Risk Assessment

Credit risk assessment is a critical function in banking, as it enables lenders to evaluate the creditworthiness of borrowers and make informed lending decisions. District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) in India play a vital role in providing credit to rural communities, farmers, and other marginalized groups. The process of credit risk assessment in DCCBs is a meticulous and multi-step process that involves collecting relevant data about the borrower, including financial statements, credit history, and

other relevant information. This data is then used to generate a credit score, which is a numerical representation of the borrower's creditworthiness. The credit score is then used to assess the credit risk of the borrower, taking into account other relevant factors such as the loan amount, loan tenure, and collateral. Based on the credit risk assessment, the lender makes a lending decision, which may involve approving or rejecting the loan application, or offering a loan with modified terms. Effective credit risk assessment is critical for DCCBs, as it enables them to minimize the risk of loan defaults and ensure that credit is provided to borrowers who are likely to repay the loan.

14. Loan Structuring and Pricing

Loan structuring and pricing are critical components of the lending process in District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) in India, playing a vital role in determining the borrowers' ability to repay loans. DCCBs provide credit to rural communities, farmers, and other marginalized groups, and the loan structuring and pricing mechanisms used by these banks can have a significant impact on the borrowers' financial well-being. The process of loan structuring and pricing in DCCBs typically involves a thorough credit appraisal of the borrower to assess their creditworthiness, determining the loan amount and tenure based on the borrower's repayment capacity, setting the interest rate based on the borrower's creditworthiness, loan amount, and tenure, and determining the repayment terms, including the repayment schedule and mode of repayment. Effective loan structuring and pricing are essential for DCCBs, as they enable these banks to manage credit risk, minimize the risk of loan defaults, and ensure that credit is provided to borrowers who are likely to repay the loan. Moreover, loan structuring and pricing can also have a significant impact on the borrowers' ability to repay loans, as excessive interest rates or unrealistic repayment terms can lead to financial distress and loan defaults.

15. Recommendations

- **Chittoor DCCB:** The bank should focus on strengthening its credit risk management practices, including credit appraisal, monitoring, and recovery.
- **Krishna DCCB:** The bank should aim to reduce its credit risk exposure by implementing more effective credit risk management strategies and diversifying its loan portfolio. The bank should continue to build on its strengths in credit risk management and explore opportunities to further improve its lending practices.
- **Srikakulam DCCB:** The bank should aim to reduce its credit risk exposure by implementing more effective credit risk management strategies and diversifying its loan portfolio. The bank should continue to build on its strengths in credit risk management and explore opportunities to further improve its lending practices.

The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has been taking steps to strengthen the co-operative banking sector, including expanding its regulatory powers and introducing a prompt corrective action (PCA) framework. These efforts aim to improve the financial stability and resilience of co-operative banks, including DCCBs. By analyzing the Gross NPA to Agricultural Advances of these three DCCBs, we can gain valuable insights into their credit risk management practices and identify areas for improvement.

16. Conclusion

In conclusion, sustainable lending practices are the cornerstone of the long-term viability of District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs) in India, and their adoption is imperative to mitigate the escalating levels of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) that have become a major concern. The implementation of robust credit risk assessment, loan structuring and pricing, monitoring and recovery, and transparency and disclosure mechanisms are essential components of sustainable lending practices that can promote financial sustainability and reduce the risk of NPAs. Moreover, the strategic

deployment of technology can provide a significant fillip to sustainable lending practices by enhancing credit risk assessment, loan monitoring, and recovery, thereby enabling DCCBs to make informed lending decisions and minimize the risk of NPAs. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that DCCBs continue to innovate and improve their lending practices, leveraging technology and best practices to remain competitive and sustainable. By doing so, DCCBs can not only promote financial sustainability but also contribute to the overall development of rural communities in India, thereby fulfilling their mandate as a vital component of the country's cooperative banking system. Ultimately, the adoption of sustainable lending practices is an imperative for DCCBs to ensure their long-term viability, promote financial inclusion, and contribute to the development of rural India.

References

1. Shah, V., & Sharma, S. (2016). A Comparative Study of NPA in ICICI Bank and HDFC Bank. *Abhinav National Monthly Refereed Journal of Research in Commerce & Management*, 5(2), 15-23.
2. Selvarajan, B., & Vadivalagan, G. (2013). A study on management of non-performing assets in priority sector reference to Indian bank and public sector banks (PSBs). *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*.
3. HS, S. (2013). A study on causes and remedies for non-performing assets in Indian public sector banks with special reference to the agricultural development branch, State Bank of Mysore. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, 2(1), 26-38.

4. Sharma, A. (2013). A comparative study of non-performing assets of public and private sector banks in India. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 3(3), 58.
5. Parmar, R. (2014). Non-Performing Assets (NPAs): A Comparative Analysis of SBI and ICICI Bank. *International Journal for Management and Pharmacy*, 3(3), 68-72.
6. Jain, D., & Sheikh, N. (2012). A comparative study of loan performance, NPA, and net profit in selected Indian private banks. *International Journal of Marketing, Financial Services & Management Research*, 1(9), 43-59.
7. Samir, D., & Kamra, D. (2013). A comparative analysis of non-performing assets (NPAs) of selected commercial banks in India. *Opinion: International Journal of Management*, 3(1).
8. Ahlawat, J., & Ahlawat, P. (2019). A Study of Non-Performing Assets of commercial bank in India. *International Journal of Management, IT & Engineering*, Vol. 9.
9. Biradar, J. (2019). The Problem of Rising Non-Performing Assets in Banking Sector in India: Comparative Analysis of Public and Private Sector Banks. *Journal of Business and Management*, Volume 21, Issue 5, pp. 01-05.